G. R. S. App. F. IX-4]

1942-

LB **File No.** 106-42

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10.

Previous References.

LB. 120-32 (1.2)
LB 31-28 (12, 13, 20 & 27)
LB 36-33 (7, 10)
LB 98-33 (9, 10, 14, 16, 17, 20, 21)
L.P 25-38 (12, 13)
L.B 13-44 (51, 58, 127, 128).

Later References.

(Local Board)

17.6.61.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. Ten

Part B for Aug '43

SUBJECT.

Apportionment of the shandy realizations of Hethagodanahalli, Arkalgud Taluk between the District Board, Hassan, the Inamdar, Mr. Devaraj Urs, and the local village Panchayat

Sl (9) To the P.W.D. Hassan Dt. the Comptroller, the D.C. Hassan Dt. the Rev. Commissioner & the Superintendent Inam Settlements.

No L. 2621-5 of 27.8.1943

Passes orders in the matter of the ——

Sl (10). To the Petitioner. Reply

No L. 2627 of 27.8.43

Forward a copy of Sl 9.

31/8

2454 / 3035

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 194 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Petition No. 55 of 21-10-41 from Sri Mr Dalvay Devaraj Urs, Inamdar, Eastern Extension, Mysore, re: apportionment of share of realizations of his Sarvamanya Villages of Hettinguddanahalli in Arkalgud Taluk

The petition may be sent to the D.C. Hassan for a detailed report. Accordingly a dft. letter is sub for appl or other orders.

25/11/41

In personal bequeme

Law secy for 26/11/41

Minister 2.5.42

Hm 26-11-41

3) Dr 27/11/41

7.

The D.C. Hassan vt
L 4601 dn 29.11.41
Cntn for a report.

Lr. 13/12 13/12

Letter No. L Dis. VPC 1834/41 of 9-4-42 from the D.C. Hassan forwarding a report on the subject as called for.

Letter No. L Dis. MR.C. 1899/41 of 7-7-42 from the D.C. Hassan Dt. forwarding a report as called for.

4) The points referred to in the

TYPING SECTION 27 NOV. 1941 REC. I. SECTT.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

petition are.— 1) that the seven villages in question were being managed by one establishment for all the villages prior to the year 1904 unlike at present. 2) that the Dt. Bd. has no right to interfere in the affairs of alienated villages as regards the holding of shandies, (3) that the Dt. Bd. is in wrong in paying on behalf of the shandy realizations of about Rs. 375/- to the concerned village panchayat when its income from other sources falls below Rs 150, (4) that permission has been granted by Govt to the holding of an additional shandy at Hellagondanahalli without reference to the Inamdar.

It is reported as regards the first point that all the villages were under the management of the Inamdar from the year 1827 onwards to 1904 and from 1904 till today it is under the management of Govt., all the revenue being ceded to Govt in consideration of the loan granted to the Inamdar. With the

Serial No.

introduction of the Village Panchayat Act, it became imperative that every village should have separate establishments and that the present system is in accordance with it. For item No. 2, it may be pointed out that under section 45(1)(c) read with 54-A of the Dt. Bds. Act, shandis are to be held whether in alienated or unalienated villages only under a license granted by the Dt. Bd. As regards point 3, the latest orders of Govt. are that one-fourth of the net realizations from shandis be made over to the Village Panchayat concerned subject to a maximum of one fourth of the average income of the individual Village Panchayat from all sources for the past three years other than grants from Govt or the Dt Bd. The present proportion ~~that is~~ in which the payment is being made is not in accordance with the above orders of Govt. It is therefore a point for consideration if the excess over the amount admissible

Extracted from L.B. file no 1 of 1941. (D no. 5058) 5

Note from the Superintendent of Inam Settlement.

I.B. To No. 258 of 42-43 & 9.2.1943

The points on which information is required are

10 i. whether the item "Sunkam" mentioned in the sanad includes also the management of Shandies and ii. Ferries and

iii. why the items of Sayer and Sunkam mentioned in the Sanad have been omitted from the Inam and Quitrent registers.

11 They term "Sunkam" or "Sunka" stands for toll, octroi or custom duties and does not include the management of i. Shandies or ii. Ferries. (Vide page 24 of the Glossary in the Mysore Gazette Vol.II 1876 Edition)

12 As regards the alleged omission from the Inam and Quitrent registers of the items Sayar and Sunkam mentioned in the Sanad it is seen that it is not the case; the item sayer in which the item Sunkam is also included has been noted in both the Inam and quitrent registers among the items of revenue conceded to the Inamadar.

13 In this connection kind reference is however invited to the Chief Commissioner's order No. 1851/Rev.4 dated the 3rd June 1875 wherein Government direct the investigation into and recording of the prescriptive rights of Inamdars in cases where the Sanads did not expressly convey the right to Ferry (vide para 3 of the order on pages 156-157 of the Mysore Revenue Manual 1886 Edition). It is a matter which can be easily ascertained if as a result of this any settlement was made in accordance with above order regarding this particular Inamdar's right to the item 'Ferry'.

***

Sd/ [illegible]

for Secretary to Government
Law Department.

HSS/2

14) In view of the fact that [illegible] received is incomplete, [illegible] has to be obtained before the question could be disposed of. Hence an adjournment

Petition of Dalvoy Devaraj Urs
5689/5049/7120

Serial

From the P.D.B. - Hassan Dn

No B1, PR 1-15/42-43 & 27-5-43

Furnishes the information called for.

Read with reference to para 14 supra. The Hebbale Shandy has been in existence about 7 years. The V.P. gets Rs 44-12- per year from 1935-36. Income from no part of the realisations has been paid to the Inamdar.

The Hetagodanahalli Shandy has been in existence ~~about 8 years~~ for some time. Its income is good. But the V.P. gets Rs 13-13-0 per year.

There are instances wherein by mutual consent between the Inamdar concerned & the Distt Board a portion of the ~~[illegible]~~ Shandy realisations is made over to the former e.g. Koggalipura in Plne Distt. Pl put up these cases soon.

1/6/43

This is put up in Thermatchi (Melur) file - filed when Finance Dept [illegible] 1/6/43

No Two collections relating to the Koggalipura Shandy received today are put up herewith.

7/6

The Hethagodanahalli is one of the Sarvamanya villages in Arkalgud Taluk belonging to Mr Dalvoy

Petition of Dalroy Devadas Nos

Continuation Sheet. 23 File No. / Current No. of 41-42 Page 9

One of his contentions is that the Shandy was established in his village without his knowledge. It is no doubt a fact that he was not consulted at the time the Shandy was started in this alienated village in the year 1933. As against this, it is felt that the proposal to hold the Shandy was notified in the Government Gazette dated 17.8.1933. Objections were called for. The Petitioner did not raise his objections then but prefer it about eight years later.

The second point is about the realization of the Shandy between the Distt Board & the Village Panchayat. This apportionment is in accordance with the order of Govt dated 7th January 1936 to the effect that one fourth of the net realization from Shandis be made over to the Village Panchayat concerned, subject to a maximum of one fourth of the average income of the individual villages Panchayat from all sources other than grants from Govt to the Distt Board. It is stated that only a sum of Rs 1,37,127 is being paid to the Pethanaoodmapalli Village Panchayat for the year 1935-36. The statement of the Petitioner that the Panchayat is paid more than what is due to it under the rules is therefore incorrect.

Petition of Dalvoy Devaraj 245

and (3) that Thovinahalli in Nulur Taluk. The facts should not however be overlooked that in this case it is a Sirvamanya village & that the Distt Board is holding the channel on the land belonging to the Inamdars. It will also be seen that the Distt Board is deriving a good income from the channel & that the amount made over to the local Panchayat is very small according to the statement of the President Distt Board - Hassan.

28) Having regard to all the facts stated above, it is for consideration if the one fourth of the realizations from the channel every year may not be made over to the village Panchayat & the Inamdars to be shared equally between them as has been done in the [illegible] of the Thovinahalli channel, subject to the condition that they also contribute and share towards the facilities to be created in the channel for those who resort thereto for making purchases etc. If this suggestion is accepted - which appears to be equitable - the arrangement may be ordered to come into effect from 1943-44, without any claim for the back periods on the part of the Inamdars, the Distt Board is not in a position to

Petn of Mr Dalvoy Devaraj Urs. Hettagowdanpalli.

6. From the Inamdar

No 3/43-44 of 6.7.43.

Forwards a copy of the title deed in the absence of the original Sannad

3) Submit for perusal with reference to para 31 supra.

8/7 3) A reminder will be issued to the Cir. for settlement etc to expedite his reply about the information called for from him.

Lawrey 8/7/43

SECTL. DEPT. 304 8/7/43 GOVT OF MYSORE

3) Cus 6-7-43

A/P pt

A draft is put up accordingly

8/7/43

3) Issue notice

Law 10/7/43

Issued No L.S. 23 of 12-7-43

Gus A 12/7

From the Supdt. Inam settlement

No 1BE 8 of 20.7.43

Forwards a note as called for

3) Submit proceedings with reference to paras 31 & 32 supra

27/7 3) The Inamdar has produced a copy of the title deed, as the original Sannad is found to be not easily traceable. The Survey Supdt (Supdt of Inam settlement) has furnished a note on the points called for from him.

3) The facts of the case, the points for orders & the possible way of

Petition of Dalvoy Devaraj Urs re apportionment of shandy realisations - Hetha gubbamata

factor to decide such cases is whether there was recognition of the right and whether the Inamdar was in actual enjoyment of the right prior to and at the time of the Inam settlement but since no shandy had been established previously, the absence of enjoyment of such a right cannot help to determine the question.

48) Since the shandy is held on the land belonging to the Inamdar and since the Inamdar had the right attached to the grant, it is but reasonable that he should be given a share of the income. It is seen that though large amounts are being realised from the shandy by the District Board, only a sum of Rs.13-13-0 is being given to the Village Panchayet. One-fourth of the realisations may be directed to be made over to the Village Panchayets and the Inamdar to be shared between them equally, subject to the condition that they should contribute their share of the expenses to be incurred for creating facilities to the public who resort to the shandy.

49) In view of the acquiescence of the Inamdar, retrospective effect need not be given. It may come into effect from 1943-44.

Ces 29.7.43

50) 2.8.43

Ces 3.8.43

52) A draft G.O is put up

57 Draft for approval

5/8

Pl revise the G.O. as corrected by me putting above

19/8/43

COURT FEE

TWO RUPEES

LB 106-42-1

To

The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

SECY. LAW DEPT. 27 OCT 1941 GOVT OF MYSORE

Appellants:-

Messrs.

1) Dalvoy Devaraja Urs

2) Dalvoy Sreekanta Lakshmi Kantaraja Urs

3) Dalvoy Nanjaraja Urs

All Represented by (1) Mr. Dalvoy Devaraja Urs

The humble petitioner Mr. Dalavoy Devaraja Urs, Inamdar of the Survamaniya villages of Hebbala, Hettagowdnahalli, Ganjalgud, Bornhalli, Anniganahalli, Doddagavanhalli and Ganganhalli, Arakalgud Taluk, Hassan District,

most respectfully showeth that:-

1. The late His Highness Sree Mummadi Krishnaraja Woodiyar Bahadur III granted the said Inam villages as Survamaniya to our great grand father, Dalvoy Devarajaiah in the year 1827 A.D. vide Copies of Sannads 10, dated 28-5-1827 and Inam Title Deed Nos. 2013 to 2019 dated 26th May 1915.

2. A perusal of the Sannads shows clearly that the full proprietory right and interests were granted.

3. The villages are lying side by side and attached to each other forming one compact block. Hence only one Sannad was issued for all villages

Inamdar appointed only the Police Patel for the unit which remained unsplited.

6. The same procedure was in existence till the year 1924 when the villages went over to Government management and remaining still so. The Inamdar would seem to have entirely ceased his connection therewith as all receipts therefrom were being credited towards the Government loan granted to him.

7. The Government loan was discharged in 1926 and still the villages are directed to be continued under Government management for some time more till all the minor Inamdars come of age and acquainted with the management of the affairs.

8. For all the villages taken together as one unit the Inamdar had only one shandy held at Hebbalo on Sundays from 1827 the year of the grant (Vide Paras IV & V). A separate land is left for the purpose as Santhe-mala Vide Survey and Settlement records.

9. His subordinates were attending to the collection of toll etc. (Sawyer and Sunka as per Sanned).

The manure etc. rubbish was being auctioned. The Inamdar was enjoying the income to the end of 1904 (Vide para VI).

10. In the year 1933 permission was granted to hold a shandy on Tuesdays at Hettagowdnahalli, one of the villages of the unit - Vide G.O.No. L.4240-42 L.N.36-33-10 dated 20th December 1933. This was superflous when there was already a shandy in the same unit, and it was serving all the purposes.

11. The Inamdar would seem to have not been consulted in the matter.

12. To add to the above the Week-day of the existant Shandy (earliest one at Hebbale) was changed from Sunday to Thursday - Vide Notification No. 3612 dated 18-9-1940 from the President, District Board, Hassan. Why such dractic change was introduced could not be made out.

13. As a result of the action referred to in paras ~~XI,~~ 10 ~~XII~~ 11 & ~~XIII~~ 12 the additional shandy started in the same unit began to flowrish and the existant one deteriated. The former came in existance in place of the latter in one and the same unit with only its locality changed.

14. In both the ways the Inamdar lost his income he enjoyed as per Sannad.

15. There was no separate Shandy for this village and no necessity arose as the existant Shandy at Hebbale was serving the purposes of all the villages of the unit.

16. The Shandy at Hettagowdnahalli is being held

apportioning the Shandy realizations of an alienated village between the District Board and the village Panchayat leaving out of consideration the vested right of the Inamdar. They ought to have taken the consent of the Inamdar and followed the procedure on the lines prescribed in G.O.No. 5341-58 V.P. 50-27-3 dated 6-2-1929 and G.O. L. 9100-1 L.B. 155-30-4 dated 15-5-1931. The District Board has not adopted this procedure.

23. There is a Village Panchayat established in the village. It seems to have come into existence after the passing of the Village Panchayat Regulation of 1926.

24. The Villages are Survamaniya. The right to toll is vested with the Inamdar. The District Board have right to collect toll in unalienated villages only. The cannot interfere with the right of the Inamdar without his consent.

25. For the afore-said reasons the Petitioner prays that the decision of the District Board and the Deputy Commissioner may kindly be ordered to be revised and that a direction may be issued to the District Board to refund the toll collected.

Belvig Devaraj Urs
Inamdar,
Eastern Extension, Mysore.

Mysore,
21st October 1941.

True Copy

Office of the President, District Board,

Hassan, Dated 26th November 1940.

From

Y.Dharmappa, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
President, District Board,
HASSAN.

To

T.Ramaiya, Esqr., B.A., (Hons.).,
Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District,
HASSAN.

Sir,

Re: the petition of Mr. Devaraj Urs, of Arakalgud for realisation of Hethgondahanalli Shandy Khist.

With reference to your letter and subsequest reminder No. Ml.C.5041-39 dated the 21st November 1940 on the above subject, I have the honour to invite kind reference to G.O.No. L.5039-42/L.B. 98-33-21 dated the 7th January 1936 apportioning Shandy realisations to the respective Village Panchayats. In accordance with that, the Village Panchayat at Hethgondahalli is entitled to the amount limited to ¼ of the Panchayat's income as fixed by the Deputy Commissioner which is ~~not~~ now being paid. The apportionment to Inamdar Mr. Devaraj Urs of Hethgondanahally does not therefore arise.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- Y.Dharmappa

President,
District Board, Hassan.

B.P.R. 58/40-41.

Copy forwarded with compliments, to Mr. D.Devar Urs, Inamdar, Hethgondahalli, with reference to his petition to this office dated 31-7-1940 and 18-9-1940

Sd/- Y.Dharmappa

President,
District Board, Hassan.

"Copy"

Dchvoy Devaraj Urs
Inamdar

True Copy.

Office of the Deputy Com

No:L.Dis.Ml.
C.5041/39.

Hassan District,

Dated Hassan, the 16th December 40.

ENDORSEMENT.

With reference to his petition No. 49 dated 27-5-1940 praying for an apportionment of the Shandy realisations of Hethagodnahalli village in Arakalgud Taluk, Mr. D.Devaraj Urs, Inamdar, is hereby informed, that the President, District Board, Hassan intimates that a portion of the income is being paid to the Village Panchayet of Hethagodnahalli as per G.O.No. 5039-42 L.B. 98-33-21 dated 7-1-1936 and that the question of apportioning it to the Inamdar does therefore not arise.

Sd/-

For Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

Dalvoi D.Devaraj Urs, Esq.,
Inamdar, Mysore.

"copy"

Delvoy Devaraj Urs
Inamdar

మోంత్యాకాలములో కురలు ఇచ్చి —
క్షుల్లకాంభవతేయుంకరవరు —

శ్రీ కంఠాంశ్యాత శచ్ఛాంతి దివి ఖద్ద కైలాంక కేళ్ళాలాంతి సంభుంకరం
నలిశ్ఛిక్షం ప్రకరణంశోం ద్వాని మాంకాంశ్వకాం॥ న చిక్షుం భద్రా
శ్వి కాంశవాల ఢాంశాం లాంత్రి లోంశ్రీ భయాంశోంతాంకప్రవిదిక్షాం, భవన
సిం ఛాంశలం ఆకాంమాత్రంమి॥౧॥ కుశీతిలాం వరకాంశ్వా దంష్ట్రాం దంకస్సా
కాంశలనకం॥ జీవమాంద్రిక-లక్షాం యుత్ర ద్రాంక్రి లత్రక్రియుం దద్రాం॥౨॥ న
మక్షిక్షా వరాం కుం యుతిల యోంక్షరకే మక్షిం॥ మింరవలద్వి గశోం
యుక్షిశిం రుంశక్షిం-ఖాంయుంకే॥౩॥ కాంశలక్రిక్షు బ సంకిక్షంకేశమక్షిం
కాంతద్రాం లు ద్రుకక్షిక్రి-కాంక్రిం దశక్షిక్షి లుకక్షిం బ్రహ కాంక్షు క్షోక-దం
క్షాం వలక్షి॥ శుం వలక్షిం క్రం దశకాంక్ష కద్ది రక్షి నంకక్రంకి దిక్షం కి
క్షాం శిం రుంకక్షిం కక్ష కిం దిన్ని నిఖిల ఖకిక్షోక్షాం కిశోం లం లుకి॥
క్షన్ని శ్రీ వ ఖ యుం భక్షిం దయు కాంలు కాంకు నకక-కక్షిం నక్షుం గ్రక్షిం నే
క్షర్ఖిశుం కాంలు కం నక్షోంక్రదర్శిక్షాం మాం నాలకక్షి నక్షాం దక్షి
క్షనక్షోంక్రద ఆర్థలాం యు నకక్షోంక్రదర్శిక్షాం మాం నాలకక్షి నక్షాం దక్షి
మ్మి ఆక్షుల్లక్షిక్షాం ఖవతేయుంకరవరక్షిక్రాంద ఖాంలకాం ఖవతేయుంకరవరక్షుక్ర
కాంద శ్రీమక్షుల్లక్షుక్షిం శుంకలశుంకనాం యు మాంనని మిల్ల దేకాంవకం
నక-కాంకిమేక-ఖ నకక్షుక్షం దద్ధిక్షాం నక్షుం కక్ష్రమాంక్షిం కాంక్షుం కుకాం
కుం కాంకం కాంక్షాం నలు దక్షి దేదక్షుక్షి మాంనలు బిక-లక్షుకాంక-కక్షులు క్రమాంనక
కాం ఖక్షిక్షాంకాలక్రలు మిక మిలకాం ఖాం ద్రికాం ఖ కుకాంకాం ఖాంఖ-క్రీకక్షి
కాం ఖక్షిక్షాంకాలక్రలు మిక మిలకాం ఖాం ద్రికాం ఖ కుకాంకాం ఖాంఖ-క్రీకక్షి
కుంకలాంకాం మోంకదక్షుకక్షుక్షిం కాంక్షనాంకలాంకక్రశ్రీ కుద్రాం ఖాంద్రికాం ఖ
కాంఖకక్షిం కాంక్షుకాంక్రక్రాంకక్రకాంక్రక్షిం కాంకాంక్రక్షిం కాంకాంక్రక్షిం కాంకాంక్రక్షిం
క్షోక-కోకక్షుకుకాంకలపిం కుం కాంకక్ష-కాంకిద్రం మిఖక్రాంకాంకక్షుకాంకుకక్ష
కాంక్షోకకక్షక్షాంక్షగం కక్ష ఖ్రేకాంకక్షక్షకాంకక్షుకాంకుకాంకుకాంక

ಶ್ರೀಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ಭಾರತಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಹಿಮ್ಮಾಳಿಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಂಬಳ

ಕಂಬಿರಾಯರು — — — — ೨೧೧೪/೨ಕ್ಕೆ

೨೨೮ ಕೃಷಿಸಂಬಂಧಿ ಪ್ರಕೃತ ಕಲ್ಲಂಗಡಿಹಣ್ಣುಗಳು ಎಕ್ಕೆ ೩-೩ ಗುಂಟೆಯಂತೆ ಎಕ್ಕೆ

೨೯೪/೨ ೨೦ ೮೦

ಅಂಕಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸರಕು ಪ್ರಾಣಿಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ಸಂಕಿಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಭಾರತಿಯರು — — — ೧೦೪೨೧೦ ಕ್ಕೆ

ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳು ಕಸಕಡ್ಡಿ — — — — —

~~೧೩೮೪೧೧ ದೊಂಡ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಅಗ್ರಹಾರ ದೊಂಡ ಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆಳಗೆ~~

೪೫೬೧೭೨೧೧ = ಹಿಮ್ಮಾಳಿ ಅಲ್ಲಾಲಗ್ರಾಮ ದೊಂಡ ಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಂತಿ-ಕೆಳಗೆ

ಸಹಾಂ ಬೆಳಿಯ — — — —

೪೮೪೮೪೧ ÷ ಅಕ್ಕಿಗಿಗ್ರಾಮದ ಬೆಳೆ ಬಲ ಕುಂಟ-ಸಹಾಂ

೧೦೪೨೪ = ಸರಕಾರ ಅಮ್ಮನವರು ಎಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಳಿದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ

ಗಾಂಜಲ ಹಾಂಬಾಳು ಮೇಲಿನ ಗ್ರಾಮಕ್ಕೆ

ಕೆಳಿದ ಶಸೆ ಬೆಳಿಯ — —

ಸಹಿ/ಇ=

೨೨೮ — — — —

೨೫೪೪೧ ÷ ಅಕ್ಕಿಗಿಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ

೨೧೧೪೧ ಕಂಸಬಾಂಬಸಳ ಬಳಿ

೯೭೪೪೧ = ಬಾಂಸಾಂಬ ಕಂ — —

೧೧೭೩೧ = ಹಾಂಬಳ ಕ್ಕೆ ಸಲ್ಲ

ಕಿಬಾಂ ಬಾಂಬಳ ಸಂಸಹಿ

ಸ ಕುಂಟ-ಸಹಾಂ

೧೨೦ ದೊಂಕಿಕೊಂಡ್ಲು ನಕೊಂಡ್ಲು

೪ ಕಸಾಂಬಾಂಬ ಕ್ಕೆ

೨೪೧ ಬಾಂಕಲ್ಲಾಂಬುದಳ ಕ್ಕೆ

೧೦ ಕಸುಂಬಾಂಬ

೧ ಲಾಂಕಾಂಬ

೧ ಸಂಬಾಂಬ

೧ ಎಲಸಕಾಂಬ

೧ ಕಬಾಂಬ

೧ ಚೆಕ್ಕ ಬ

೧ ಕಾಂಬಿಸ

೩ ಲಂಬಾಂಬ

೨೪೧

೧೦೧೧೨೧ = ಸರಕಾರ ಅಲ್ಲಾಸಂಬಳ ಕ್ಕೆ

ಸಹಿ/ಇ=

೨೨೧/೪

ಶ್ರೀಮ್ಮ ಭಾಂಡಾಗಾರ ಶ್ರೀಕಾರದಾರಕಶಿಲು ಮಿರಿಕೆ
೨೮೪೨ ÷ ಕೆ
ಭಾಂಡಾಂಬಾಂಡಿ ಬೆಳೆ ಮಾಡ್ಕಂಡಿರುವ ಲು ——— ೪೫೬॥೭॥ = ಕೆ

೨೨೦ ———

೨೨೦॥೪॥ ÷ ಕಾಂಬ್ರಿಗಿರ ಕಂಬಳ್ಳಿರದ ಕಾಂಸುವಳ ———
೧೦॥೭॥ = ಹುಲಿ ಕಾಂಡಲು ಲುಕೆ ———

೨೨೦॥೪೬ ÷

೧೭೫೪॥ ÷ ಲುಕ-ಕಾಂಡಿ ಲುಕೆ ಲುಕ್ಕೆ ಲುಂದಾ ಕಾಂಸುವಳ
ಸಂಡಿಕ್ಕೆ ಳಳ ಕಟ್ಟ ದಾಬಿ ———

೪೫೬॥೭॥ =

೧೫೭೨ = ಪಿಕಸಿಂಡನಳ್ಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲು ಬಿಂಡ ಕಿಶಿಕ್ಕೆನಾ ಬೆಂಡಾಳ್ಳಂಡಿ
೨೨೦ ——— ೧ ——— ೧ ——— ೧೫೭೨ = ಕ್ಕೆ

೧೨೮೪೭ = ಅಕ್ಕೆ ನಾಸ್ತಾಂಡಲ ಲುಕೆ ———
೨೪೮ ÷ ಕಾಂಸಳ ಲುಕೆ ———
೧೧೦೬ ÷ ಭಾಂಸಾಂಲ್ಲು ಲುಕೆ ———
೧೦ ಶೆಂಡಿ ಲುಕೆ ———
೧೨೪ ಕಕಾಂಡಿ ಭಾಂಲು ಲುಕೆ ———
೧೦ ಭಾಂಡಿಲ್ಲಾಂಯ ೨೨೦ ———
ಕ ಕಡಲು ಯಂಭಾಂಡ ———
೧ ಅಂಸಕ ———
೪ ಕುಶ್ಶಾರ ಭಾಂಡಿ ———
೧

೨೪೮ ÷

೧೫೭೨ =
೨೨೦ ———
೧೨೭೨ = ಕಾಂಬ್ರಿಗಿರ ಕಂಬಳ್ಳಿರದ ಕಾಂಸುವಳ ———
೧೫೪ ಲುಕಾಂಡಲು ಲುಕೆ ಲುಕ್ಕೆ ಲುಂದ ಕಾಂಸುವಳ
ಅಂಸಕ್ಕ ದಾಬಿ ———

೧೫೭೨ =

ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ೪೫೫ ಚೌಕಾಕಾರದ ಹೊಲದ ಮೂಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಲದ ಚೌಕ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ೪೫೫

ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ೪೫೫ ಲಗ್ನಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಚೌಕ —

ಗಣಿತ ಕೆಂಪು ಬಾಣ —

೪೨೫ ಚೌಕದ ಲಂಬ —

ಕಮಲಯಂತ್ರದ ಅಂಕ ಚೌಕ
೬ ೧ ೧೪೪

೪೬ ಕಾಂಡಗಳು ೪೨೫

ಗಣಿತ

ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ೪೫೫ ÷

ಸರಾಂ —

ಪಂಕ್ತಿ ÷ ಕಾಂಡಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಕಾಂಸೂತ್ರ —

ಗಣಿ = ಮುಖಕಾಂಸೂ ಅಲ್ಪಾಲ್ಪಂ ಕಾಂಸೂತ್ರಗಳಿಂದ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ದ —

ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ೪೫೫ ÷

೨ ಗಂ೪೪ ÷ ಸಂಚಿಕಾಂಶ ಅಲ್ಪಾಲ್ಪ ಹೊಂದಿದ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧ —

ಚೌಕ ೨ ಗಂ೪೪ ÷

ಗಣಿತ ಲಗ್ನಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಚೌಕ

ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ೪೨೫ ÷ ಕೆಂಪು ಬಾಣ —

೨೭೨ = ಚಾಂಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಚೌಕ

೬೫೧ = ಕೆಂಪು ಬಾಣ

೧೪ ಚಾಂಸೂತ್ರಗಳು

ಕಮಲಯಂತ್ರದ ಅಂಕ ಚೌಕ
೬ ೧ ೧೪

ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ೪೨೫ ÷ ೧೪

೨ ಗಂ೪೪ ÷

ಸರಾಂ —

ಗಂ೫ ಗಣಿ ಕಾಂಪಿಸಿದ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದ ಕಾಂಸೂತ್ರ

ಗಂ೫ ೪೨೫ ÷ ಮುಖಕಾಂಸೂ ಅಲ್ಪಾಲ್ಪಂ ದ ಕಾಂಸೂ

೨ ಗಂ೪೪ = ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ಅಳತೆ ಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂ

೦೦೭೨೧= ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿನರಸಪ್ಪ ಲಗಳ ಹಣ್ಣಾಕ್ಷಿಪ್ಪ ಕಾಣಿಕೆ ಬಾಬತ್ತು

೨೨೧೪ ಲಾಯ್ದೆ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪ್ ಪೇಪರ್

೨೦೦೦= ಬಂಗಾರ ಬಾಬತ್ತು

೦೪೨೪= ಬಂಗಾರ್ ಬಾಬತ್ತು

೦೪೦ ಕಾಂ

೧೪೭೦ ಬಾಂಕಿ ಸಾಲ್ಕಿ ಯಂ

ಕಮಲಮ್ಮನವರ ಅಸ್ತಿ ಖಾಯ್ದೆಪತ್ರ ಬಾಬತ್ತು

೧೦ ೧ ೨

ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ಬಂಗಾರ

೧ ೦

೧೪೭೦

೨೦೦೦=

೦೦೭೨=

೨೨೦

೮೦೪= ಕಾಂಡಿನಿಕಂಪನಿಯವರದ ಹಣಾಂಸಾಲ

೧೧೪೪೦ ಲ್ಕ ಹಣಾಂಸಾಲ ಅಸ್ತಿಯಿಂದ ಹಣಾಂಸಾಲ ಯಂ

ನಷ್ಟದ

೦೦೭೨=

೨೨೧= ನಮ್ಮ ನರಸಪ್ಪ ಲಗಳ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪ್ ಗಳ್ಳೆ ಬೆಂಬಾ ೫-೦ ಬಿರಾಂಬಾ

೨೨೧=೨೨೦

೨೨೧೨೦= ಸ್ಟಾಂಪ್ ಪೇಪರ್

೨೪ ಕಂಪನಿ ಹಣಾಂಬಾ

೨೨೧=

೨೨೦

೨೪೧೨೦= ಕಾಂಡಿನಿಕಂಪನಿಯವರದ ಹಣಾಂಸಾಲ

೮೨೦ ಲ್ಕ ಹಣಾಂಸಾಲ ಅಸ್ತಿಯಿಂದ ಹಣಾಂಸಾಲ ಯಂ ನಷ್ಟದ

೨೨೧=

೦೦೪ ಬೀಂಗರನರಸಪ್ಪ ಲಗಳ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪ್ ಗಳ್ಳೆ ಬೆಂಬಾ ೫-೦ ಬಿರಾಂಬಾ

೧೪೪೪ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪ್ ಪೇಪರ್ ೧೪೫೦೪

೦೪ ಕಂಪನಿ ಹಣಾಂಬಾ

22.10.41

೧೪೫.೪

౨ పరాం

౯౫౫ కాంబ్రిక్ వదంవత్సరద జాంసువళి

౪౪౪౫. లో కాంగ్రెస్ లుందం జాంసువళి ఆనత్సదూ

౧౪౫౫

౧౦౪౨౫ గ్రామ దొంత్తకై-శి ఖళాకిశి

శంతిరాం లు జాంవరద నల్లక్కు వలాం కు వరదాం పు అల్పిదాడ్డాంపు మూం రాంల్పై బేతిశినలు గ్రామ వళంసు కైవళిశర్త మూం నవ్వాంగి అల్పు కైశిం లు, లు ద్వై రాం దకారణం లూం గ్రామ వళంసు లి బ కుళ్ళై మూం వళ నణాత్రి నంద దొంశుం నగద్దు బేడ్డలు శిం షేకు రికి శిం లు గ్గళు నివరణాం శి కైం బేశిగళు యూం భారదుల్లు రుణా బేరాం దాం సు మదువెకొంతవళి కు అల్పకైశిగి కొంరికొలు యూం మూం నల్లి కు-రనరకశి-కుంకు-కొం వళి ఆలిశుం కు-దుక్కి కొం వళి కు-బ్బిణాం గిరగాంవలు లూం బు-లుల్పొలు లుల్పినవొం శి కొం వు గాం లు అరశినలూం రికిశిలు ఆ శరశం నశర్త్వళి శిగి శి వరవళి కు-కు-లు వ్యక్తి-కుంకు-కాగదరిబేరి బిగి శిరిదబాం లు యూం వక్కన్నా జాం మ నలవ్యక్తి-కుంకు-కాగదరిబేరి బిగి శిరిదబాం లు యూం వక్కన్నా జాం మ రూం తి మూం తి యూం తి యుల్లి బ-కు గ్రై శి గి-శి లాం వ్రశిల్లి మూం తి మూం తి శిం కొం లు శరశాం వ్రశి యూం లు లు రాం బాం జన్నాదలు వ్రబాళి లాం తిదే శ్వాయు జాం వక్కశదాం రబ్బ్ గి నరలు జాం దికు-శర్త మూం స్వాం గి నతిశిశిం తొ లు లరాం వంకై లు రకు-లు గూం తొ గ్రాం లు కొం లు పాం లు ఆ మూం లు కేశి రాం యూం లు శ్రీ కు-శాం లు ఆ మూం లు రిశిశదాం బ్బ్ తి దశకు-కు మేం దురశిం దాం నశ్వదాలు అల్పు కైశిం లు, లు ద్వై కాం గి ఆ పెం రిశి గ్రాం లు ఇం మి బాం బే బాం లు నలం కాం గి యూం వక్కన్నా కాం లు ధ్రాం దే నవూం తి శిం కొం తొ లు గ్రామ వళంసు యుల్లి బ-కు లుగ్రై మూం వళ నణాం భూం లాం సు లో కాం గు వళి యూం గి లు వ బ్బూం లు లుం దే కాం గు వళి యూం నశ్వభూం లు యూం నశ్వదాం గు వళి మూం తి శి ద్వై కాం గి శేరిశళ్ళి కాం లు వేలు కై అ బ్బు కు-లు లుం కొం దల్లి మూం తి శి బాం బే బాం లు నళిందాం శదాం యూం వదరిం దాం లు ఇల్లు బాం గ్రి శుల్లు వళి మూం తి శిం కొం తొం నశ్వ శ్రీ పు కొం శి బు వ్రశి కొం శ్వ కాం రం శరశి రాం గి-శర్త మూం స్వాం గి అ కు బ్బ గి శి కొం తొ-శి మాదిం దాం లు రు వ్రదూ లు బు వళళ నణాం 22.10.44 శ్రీ శి-శి బ లశరు రాం శ్వాం అ శ్రీ-కాం గం వు శి శ్రీ కాం శ్వ్రి నళంబు అల్లు బ్బేం నశి బ కాం వ్వ్రి నళాం శి వు శి శ్రీ కల్లు వదూం-

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District,

No.L.Dis. Ml.C.1899/41. Dated, Hassan, the 7th July 1942.

Encl. One file.

From

N. S. Hiranna, [illegible],
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

A. Sundararaja Rao, Esqr., B.A., B.L
Secretary to Government,
Law Department,
Bangalore

SECY. LAW DEPT.
8 JUL 1942
GOVT OF MYSORE

Sir,

Subject: Petition of Mr. D
the apportionmen
toll collections:

Adverting to your letter No.L.4601 dated 29-11-1941 on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith letter No. Bl.C.15/42 dated 3-7-42 (with records) addressed to you by the President District Board fully explaining the facts of the case

I am however to add that an endorsement had been issued to Mr. Devaraj Urs with this Office No. L.Dis.Ml.C.5041/39 dated 16-12-40 stating that in view of G.O. No. L.5039-42/L.B. 98-33- dated 7-1-36 the question of apportioning the shandy realisatio to the Inamdar did not arise as a portion thereof was being pai to the Village Panchayet of Hethagodanahalli.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.
absent on Tour

5049/L 32

No. 266/L 2-43

Office of the Manavarthe of
Mr.Dalvoy Devaraj Urs, Mysore,
Dated 16th February 1943.

The Secretary
to Govt of H.H.The Maharaja of Mysore,
Law Department B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

Subject: Payment of the Realisations of the Shandy at Hettagowdanahally Arakalgud Taluk to our Manavarthe.

In inviting kind reference to my No.55dated 21st October 1941 and reminder No.145 dated 27th April 1942 on the above subject, I have the honour to say that the matter has been pending for over one and a half years and to request that the same may be kindly arranged to be brought to disposal at a very early date.

In the meantime I request to be informed of the stage at which the matter stands.

I have the honour to be

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Dalvoy Devaraj Urs
Inamdar.

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

L9002
2/6/3

one copy
Draft I

I 175 33

Dalvoy Devaraj Urs Esqr
Manevartha Vice
Mysore

Sir,

In reply to your letter no 266/42-43 dated the 26th Feb 1943 regarding the payment of the realizations from the shanty at Hethagundanahalli, Sankalgud Taluk, I am directed to state that the matter is still under correspondence with the District authorities concerned & that orders will be issued on receipt of information called for from them.

I have the
Cus 3
5
honour to be
Sir
Your obedt
Servant
Secretary

Draft II. Vide overleaf

No. 369/42-43

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 6892 14 MAY 1943

SECY. LAW DEPT. 14/5/43 GOVT OF MYSORE

Office of the Manavarthe of the
Inamdar, Mr. Dalavoy Devaraj Urs
Mysore, 10th May 1943.

The Secretary to Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Law Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. L.9002/L.B. dated 6th March 1943 regarding the payment of the realizations from the Shandy at Hebbagowdanahally, Arakalgud Taluk, Hassan District, I have the honour to request that the required particulars may kindly be obtained early from the District authorities and final orders passed at a very early date as the matter has been pending long.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Delvoy Devaraj Urs
INAMDAR.

TYPING SECTION
15 MAY 1943
GEN. & REV. SECTT.

Immediate

6892 / 36

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

D. O. No. L 1115 T

Dated, Bangalore, 17/5

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite reference to ~~this office~~ letter No. L 9003 dated 26-3-1962 ~~and the subsequent reminders ending with~~ No. Calling for certain particulars ~~dated~~ within a week about ~~on the subject of the payment of the realisation from the Shanbhag at~~ held Hettagondanahally, Arkalgud Hobli and the ~~reasons therefor~~ ~~Said~~

~~2. I am~~ to request you to be so good expedite your reply thereto, as the ~~matter has been pending for a long time.~~ matter which has been pending for a long time despised without further delay

Yours sincerely,

To

The P. D. B. Hassan Sa

(By name)

LB 106-42-3

TEL ADDRESS:- 'PRESBOARD'

NO. B.P.R. 1-15/42-43
ENC. one sketch

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
Hassan, Dated 27th May 1943

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
31 MAY 1943
L.S.G. BRANCH.
7170

From

Y. DHARMAPPA Esq. B. A., B. L.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
HASSAN.

GOVT. LAW DEPT.
1943
GOVT OF MYSORE

The Secretary to Government,
Law Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. L. 9003/L.B. dated 6th Mar 1943 and subsequesnt reminder dated 17th May 1943, calling for certain particulars about the Shandy at Hethagodanahalli, I have the honour to furnish hereunder the partuculars as called for.:-

1. From the available records it is seen that the shandy at Hebbale Arkalgud Taluk, has been in existance for about 7 years and the income for those years is as noted in the margin. A sum of Rs. 44-12-0 per year as fixed by the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District was paid to the Panchayet at Hebbale from the year 1935-36 for a period of 5 years in accordance with Government Order No. L.5039-42/L.B. 98-33-21 dated 7th January 1936.

1931-32.	Rs. 200/-
1932-33.	Rs. 336/-
1933-34.	Rs. 255/-
1934-35.	Rs. 180/-
1935-36.	Rs. 155/-
1936-37.	Rs. 70/-
1937-38.	Rs. 30/-

2. No records are available to show that any portion of the Shandy realisations has been paid to the Inamdar at any time. Neither information is forthcoming at this office re: the appropriation of the Shandy realisations prior to the year 1904 when the village was under the management of the Imamdar.

3. The annual income of the Shandy at Hethagodnahalli for the

P.T.O.

Hassan Taluk



Exp. prof. 28/5/43

(1) Hethgondanhalli S.I.

(2) Hebbale S.I. (3 miles from Hethagondanhalli)

(3) Annigere S.I. (3 miles Do Do)

(4) Gangenhalli S.I. (2½ miles Do Do)

(5) Gangalgod S.I. (1½ miles Do Do)

(6) Hebbale S.I. to Annigere S.I. (one mile)

(7) Hebbale to Gangenhalli 3/4 ths of a mile

(8) Gangalgod S.I. is within 2 miles from Hebbale, Gang and Annigere Inam villages

(9) Borahalli S.I. is within 3 miles from the above Inam

7493

No. 409
42-43

Office of the Manavarthe of the
Inamdar, Mr. Dalavoy Devaraj Urs,
Mysore, 8th June 1943.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Law Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In intiting reference to my letters Nos. 54 55 dated 22nd and 24th October 1941 regarding the payment of the realizations from the Shandy at Hettagowdanahally, Arakalgud Taluk and subsequent reminders as per margin, I have the honour to requ to be informed if the requir particulars have since been received from the District authorities. As the matter has been pending for a long time, I request that early orders may be passed in the matter.

145 D/ 20-4-42	
266 D/ 16-2-43	
369 D/ 12-5-43	

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Dalavoy Devaraj Urs
INAMDAR.

ppendix F. G.—1]

UBJECT: Petition of Mr. Dalvoy Devaraj regarding the settlement of certain claims ~~in regard of~~ relating to his rights as Inamdar

Section L3
File No. 106-42
Serial No. 4

iary No. of letter replied to

No. L/2383

To
The Commissioner for Settlements & Land Records in Mysore

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 194 .

Sir,

In inviting reference to the note of the Superintendent of Inam Settlement G.B. No. 258/42-43 of 9.2.1943, on the above subject I am directed to enquire what the difference between an Inam village & a Sarvamanya village is in respect of the rights of the Inamdar for Sayer and Sunka. ~~As~~ A note on the subject may be kindly sent early

Date of issue 22/6

Signed by Mr. G. R. Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Yours
for

for Secy to Govt
Law Dept

WD 04161—GPB—60,000—24-10-41

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 194 .

Date of issue 22/6

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Lodged by.....

Examined by.....

Section L3

File No. 106-4-

Serial No. 5

No. L/12384

To

Dalvoy Devaraj Urs Esq.
Inamdar, ~~Tandur~~
~~Inamdar's office~~ Mysore

Sir

With refce to the correspondence ending with this office letter no L9002 of 6.3.1943 on the question of payment of the realizations from the Shandy at Hetthagondanahally, Arsalgud Tk. to the Manager. I am directed to request you to kindly produce the original title deed in respect of the grant of the Sarvamanya rights ~~Inam land concerned~~ for the period of 50 years.

Thanks

Secy to Govt
Land Dept

204 LB

Office of the Manavarthe of the
Inamdar, Mr. Dalavoy Devaraj Urs
Mysore, 6th July 1943.

GEN. & REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
8 JULY 1943
L.S.G. BRANCH.

To

The Secretary to Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Law Department,
Bangalore.

LEGL. LAW DEPT.
GOVT OF MYSORE

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. L.1 I.B.106-42-4 dated 22nd ultimo requesting to se the original title deed in respect of the grant the Sarvamanya villages to our Manavarhhe, I ha the honour to forward herewith a copy of the fi title deed granted to my father by the Superint of Inam Settlements on the 26th May 1915. As origibal Sannad is not easily traceable, the co of the title deed is sent as it is prepared on original Sannad.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Dalavoy Devaraj Urs
INAMDAR.

True Copy

G.No.2016.

Seal of the Superintendent
Of Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

No. 109.

FINAL TITLE-DEED GRANTED TO Mr. Mysore Dalavayi Devaraj Urs.
ON BEHALF OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

I acknowledge your title to a personal Inam comprising the village of Hettagowdanahally S.M. in the taluk of Arkalgud of the district of Hassan as per particulars mentioned in the annexed Schedule.

2. This Inam by the terms of the sannad is heritable and transferable, and it is confirmed to you, your heirs, or assignees on those terms in perpetuity, so long as you or they are faithful subjects of the State.

SCHEDULE.

Description of land	Survey area	Survey assessment	Former jodi	Quit-rent for enfranchisement	Combined quit-rent	Items enjoyed
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	A G.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	1. Land Revenue
rry	664-15	507-12-0				2. Mohatarfa
et	29-26	111-12-0				3. Sayer
Garden	22-33	92-0-0				4. Sendi
Beramboke or unculturable	224-22	3-8-0				5. Forest except Sandal
Acres nine hundred & forty one & Guntas sixteen only Total	941-16	715-0-0	—	—	—	Free

3. This Inam is moreover subject to the payment of general, local and municipal taxes, and a proportionate share of the cost of the repairs of Government works of irrigation in common with ordinary kandayam raiyats.

Inam Settlement Office,)
Dated 26th May 1915.)

Sd/- D.K.Darashah
Superintendent of Inam Settlemen

Case No. 1.

Examined.

Sd/-

A, endix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT Petition of Mrs. Dalvoy Deseraj Wro regarding the settlement of certain claims relating to his rights as Inamdar

Section LB

File No. 106-42

Serial No. R

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. L 5.23

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 194 . .

The Commr. for Settlement of Land Revenue

Sir

I am directed to invite reference to this office letter no L 12383 of 22-6-43 on the above subject and to request that the information called for therein may be expedited

Yours

Date of issue 12/7

Signed by

Secy to Govt

in Law Dept

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

DEPARTMENT OF LAND RECORDS, SURVEY AND SETTLEMENT.

In reply please quote in full the No. given below.

REVENUE SURVEY OFFICES,

Dated Bangalore, 19th July 1943.

No. 8

Encl. one note

FROM

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

The Superintendent of Land Records, Survey and Settlement in Mysore, Bangalore.

TO

The Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. L.12,383/L.4 106-42-4 dated 22-6-43 enquiring what the difference between an Inam Village and a Sarva Manya Village is in respect of the rights of the Inamdar for Sayer and Sunka and requesting a note on the subject, I have the honour to enclose a note as desired.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

N o t e.

Subject:- The difference between an Inam Village and a Sarva-Manya Village in respect of the rights of the Inamdar for Sayer and Sunka.

❦ ❦ ❦

The terms Inam and Manya are identical and mean a gift or grant on beneficial tenure, i.e. free of assessment, or partially assessed (Ardhamanya) or lightly assessed (jodi) and include the term Sarva Manya.

The term Sarva-Manya means a grant free of all demands including Sayer, Mohatarfa, etc., (vide Glossary at the end of the Revenue Manual Volume No.I. p.p. 576 and 582).

As regards the query whether there is any difference in the exercise of the rights of the holders of an Inam village and a Sarva Manya village in respect of the Items of Sayer and Sunka it may be stated that these items of revenue have been conceded to the holders of either kinds of Inams and no distinction is drawn between the two kinds of grants so far as they relate to the exercise of the rights of Inamdars except that the grants on Sarva Manya tenure were on a tenure more beneficial to the Inamdar in respect of the Jodi etc. payable to Government. No distinction however appears to have been made between the two kinds of Inams for purposes of the application of the Inam Rules.

As regards the rights of Inamdars to items other than Land Revenue, the governing factor for the recognition of the right to such other items was whether the Inamdar was in the actual enjoyment of such items prior to and at the period of Inam Settlement.

Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

19/43.

LB. 106-49/1849

No. 57

1943

Office of the Manavarthe of the
Inamdar, Mr. Dalavoy Devaraj Urs,
Mysore, 16th August 1943.

LAW DEPT. 13 AUG 1943 GOVT OF MYSORE

The Secretary to the
Government of His Hi the Maharaja
of Mysore, Lav ment,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In inviting reference to my letters Nos. 54& 55 dated 22nd & 24th October 1941 and subsequent reminders as per margin regarding the payment of the realizations from the Shandy at Hettagondanahally Arakalgud Taluk, I request that early orders may be passed for payment of the same to our Manararthe.

No.	145	dated	27-4-42
"	266	"	10-2-43
"	369	"	12-5-43
"	3	"	6-7-43

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Dalavoy Devaraj Urs
INAMDAR.

Mr. Devaraj Urs.
Please put up a proper reminder as directed in the file.

Draft Reminder Subd for Kind approval.

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT ..... Two Boards

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 194 .

Date of issue 27/8/43 ~~194~~ .

Signed by Mr. E.N. Rao

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section LB.

File No. 106-42

Serial No. 9

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read— G.O. no L 4240-42/V.P. 36-33-10 of 20.12.33, sanctioning the opening of a shandy at Hethagodanahalli, Arkalgud Taluk.

2. G.O. no L 5039-42/LB. 98-33-21 of 7-1-36, apportioning the shandy realizations to the respective village panchayats in the Hassan Dn.

~~Order thereon—No.~~

3. Petition no. 55 dated 21-10-41, from Sri. Dalvoy Devaraj Urs, Inamdar, Mysore, requesting that the present apportionment of shandy realizations of his Sarvamanya village of Hethagodanahalli

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Order No. L 2621-5 dt. 27/8

Hethagodanahalli is one of the seven Sarvamanya villages in Arkalgud Taluk, granted in the year 1827, by H. H. the Maharaja to the family of Mr. Dalvoy Devanj Urs.

2 The Hassan Dt. Bd. have been holding a shandy in that village every Tuesday from the year 1932-33 in accordance with the first of the G.O's read above, under the provisions of Secs. 45 (1) (c) and 57 A of the Mysore Dt. Boards Act: A sum of Rs. 13-13-0 is being granted out of the realizations every year, to the local Village Panchayat, in accordance with ~~the orders in~~ the second of the G.O's read above, dated 7.1.36. This village, along with the other villages, is under

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

4. Coming to the several points in the petition, the petitioner contends that the Shanoy was established in his village, without his knowledge. Although the petitioner does not appear to have been formally consulted at the time, the proposal was duly notified in the Mysore Gazette dated 17.8.(43) 33 and objections were called for. The Inamdar could have preferred his objections then, but he has done so after a lapse of eight years.

5. The petitioner also objects to the apportionment of the realisations of the shanoy between the District Board and the Village Panchayat in accordance with the G.O. of 7th Jan 1936 referred to above, and states, that, in fact,

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

'Sunka' and 'Sayer' have been conceded to the Sarvamanya Inamdar along with the grant. The Inamdar, therefore, could have managed the shandy and collected the taxes himself by obtaining a license from the Dt. Bd., as, in spite of his having raised no objection to the starting of the shandy by the Dt. Bd., his right still subsists.

7. Further, the shandy is being held on the land belonging to the Inamdar, ~~and~~ who has also the right attached to his grant of Sarvamanya. It is therefore only reasonable that he should be given a share of the income from the shandy.

8. Having regard to the above facts, Govt are pleased

from 1943-44. The President, District Board, Hassan District is requested to take action accordingly.

Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To

The President, District Board, Hassan District through the Deput Commissioner, Hassan District (with records).
The Comptroller to Government.
The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.
The Revenue Commissioner and The Superintendent, Inam Settlement in Mysore.

Inno.O.

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....1184/L

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 194 .

Date of issue.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section LB

File No. 106-42

Serial No. 10

No. L 2627

To

Mr. Dalwar Devenaj Mu

Iraudeen - Mpsse.

Sir,

With refer to your peti

No. 55 of 21-10-1941. in reg

to the disposal of the shand

Paddy of your Sarvamanu

village of Hettagodana hall

Akalgod Dalut, I am directed

to forward herewith a copy of G.O.

no L 2621-5 / LB 106-42 -

of 27/8/43 passing orders thereon.

I have &c

Sd

for Secy to Govt

Law Dept

1184/L 62

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore 27 August 1943.

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
Law Department.

Dalvoy Devaraj Urs Esqr.
Inamdar,
Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your petition No. 5 dated ~~31st~~ 17th August ~~October 1941~~ 1943 in regard to the ~~disposal~~ adjustment of the shandy realizations of your sarvamanya village of Hethagodanahalli, Arkalgud Taluk, I am directed to forward herewith for information a copy of Government Order No. L. 2621-5 /L.B. 106-42-9 dated 27th Aug. 1943 passing orders thereon.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

For Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

Please retype as corrected.

knns.2.